

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,342	WEINER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JERRY CUMBERLEDGE	3733	

All Participants:

(1) Jerry Cumberledge

(2) Matthew Schantz

Date of Interview: 1 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner noted that there appeared to be a grammatical mistake in claim 28 and that it appeared that the word "is" should be placed in the claim. The examiner spoke with Mr. Schantz and was granted the authorization to amend the claim to include "is".

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jerry Cumberledge/
Examiner, Art Unit 3733

Status of Application: After-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:00 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)